

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
					Group	C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: <div>5</div>				
% of Funds Committed	<div>95.01</div> %	<div>94.64</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>92.07</div> %	<div>75</div>	<div>79</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>89.78</div> %	<div>90.38</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>84.14</div> %	<div>72</div>	<div>76</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>0.82</div>	<div>4.23</div>	<div>4</div>	<div>4.79</div>	<div>16</div>	<div>14</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>100.00</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>81.45</div> %	<div>100</div>	<div>100</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>93.87</div> %	<div>84.46</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>69.74</div> %	<div>88</div>	<div>91</div>	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>89.50</div> %	<div>83.14</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>80.97</div> %	<div>59</div>	<div>67</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>46.41</div> %	<div>39.57</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>45.50</div> %	<div>49</div>	<div>50</div>	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>98.34</div> %	<div>97.66</div> %	<div>4</div>	<div>95.55</div> %	<div>40</div>	<div>42</div>	
Overall Ranking:			In State:	<div>2</div> / <div>5</div>	Nationally:	<div>64</div> <div>65</div>	
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:							
Rental Unit	<div>\$20,324</div>	<div>\$16,919</div>		<div>\$26,831</div>	<div>181</div> Units	<div>18.50</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$22,092</div>	<div>\$18,940</div>		<div>\$15,029</div>	<div>262</div> Units	<div>26.70</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$23,192</div>	<div>\$24,406</div>		<div>\$20,806</div>	<div>47</div> Units	<div>4.80</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$326</div>	<div>\$2,250</div>		<div>\$3,228</div>	<div>491</div> Units	<div>50.10</div> %	

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (214 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (283 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Topeka KS

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

	Rental	Homebuyer	Homeowner
PJ:	\$36,213	\$62,099	\$27,300
State:*	\$76,255	\$70,068	\$23,808
National:**	\$96,090	\$75,663	\$23,585

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 2.7 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.8

	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %		Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
RACE:					HOUSEHOLD TYPE:				
White:	38.7	61.5	51.1	76.9	Single/Non-Elderly:	35.9	32.4	17.0	76.9
Black/African American:	54.7	25.2	19.1	15.4	Elderly:	3.9	1.5	27.7	0.0
Asian:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Related/Single Parent:	37.6	34.0	10.6	15.4
American Indian/Alaska Native:	1.1	0.4	0.0	7.7	Related/Two Parent:	17.7	25.6	38.3	7.7
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Other:	4.4	6.1	6.4	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	5.5	12.2	23.4	0.0					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:				
1 Person:	28.7	31.3	38.3	76.9	Section 8:	8.8	0.4 [#]		
2 Persons:	22.7	22.5	25.5	7.7	HOME TBRA:	0.6			
3 Persons:	21.5	17.9	2.1	7.7	Other:	9.4			
4 Persons:	17.1	21.4	12.8	7.7	No Assistance:	81.2			
5 Persons:	6.6	4.6	10.6	0.0					
6 Persons:	2.2	1.5	6.4	0.0					
7 Persons:	0.6	0.8	4.3	0.0					
8 or more Persons:	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0					
					# of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001				23

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Topeka State: KS Group Rank: 64
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 2 / 5 PJs Overall Rank: 65
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 75.25%	100	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 50.43%	93.87	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	89.5	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 91.30%	98.34	
"ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED***		> 3.340	1.77	

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

